IGNACE/OJIBWAY NATION OF SAUGEEN OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
CONSEIL DU DÉTACHEMENT D'IGNACE ET DE LA PREMIÈRE NATION OJIBWAY DE SAUGEEN DE LA POLICE PROVINCIALE

DRYDEN OPP DETACHMENT
DÉTACHEMENT DE DRYDEN DE LA POLICE PROVINCIALE

Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board
c/o Township of Ignace
34 Hwy 17 West, P.O. Box 248
Ignace, ON P0T 1T0
T: (807) 934-2202
E: clerk@ignace.ca

AGENDA

Thursday, November 12, 2026 @ 1:00 p.m.

Multi- Purpose Room

Zoom Link: https://us06web.zoom.us/j/85056978341?pwd=iNt4brxRa6ZzfldDuBq90JnJuc6P5F.1

Meeting ID: 850 5697 8341
Passcode: 969984

Acknowledgement of the Lands

1. **Call to Order**

2. **Motion to Approve the Agenda**

3. **Declarations of Pecuniary Interest and the General Nature Thereof**

4. **Motion to Approve the Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

   4.1 Minutes - August 12, 2026

5. **Unfinished Business**

   5.1 Community Safety Well Being Plan – Update

6. **New Business**

   6.1 Ron Le Clair – New Advisor for Zone 1 and 1A

7. **Correspondence**

   7.1 PGO

   - Supporting Your Association: What Can We Build Together
   - AI & Police Governance PGO Virtual Summit – November 25, 2026
   - Ministry Issues Guidance for Police Service Board and OPP Detachment Board members Seeking Municipal Office

   7.2 PGO Communications

   - Provincial Offences Court and Security
   - Crime Stoppers: Considerations for Boards and Community Communication

- A Zone is More than Three Meetings on a Calendar
- What are other OPP Detachment Boards Paying
    - OPP Detachment Board Remuneration Survey

7.3 Inspectorate of Policing

- Governance Briefing No. 7 – Planning for the Future
- Governance Briefing No. 6 – Governance Readiness
- Letter from the Honourable Michael S. Kerzner, Solicitor General – August 14, 2026

7.4 Support Letter

- Township of McNab/Braeside – Request for Review of the OPP Municipal Policing Cost Model

8. Reports

8.1 Police Services Board Detachment Commander's Report

- July - September 2026 Third Quarter Report

9. Committee Members "Round Table Discussion"

10. Motion to Adjourn

IGNACE/OJIBWAY NATION OF SAUGEEN OPP DETACHMENT BOARD
CONSEIL DU DÉTACHEMENT D'IGNACE ET DE LA PREMIÈRE NATION OJIBWAY DE SAUGEEN DE LA POLICE PROVINCIALE

DRYDEN OPP DETACHMENT
DÉTACHEMENT DE DRYDEN DE LA POLICE PROVINCIALE

Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board
c/o Township of Ignace
34 Hwy 17 West, P.O. Box 248
Ignace, ON P0T 1T0
T: (807) 934-2202
E: clerk@ignace.ca

MINUTES

August 12, 2026

1:00 p.m. - Multi Purpose Room

Members	Kim Baigrie - Mayor/Council Rep. Brad Greaves - Community Rep. Penny Lucas - Provincial Rep. Janet Lett - Council Rep.
Ontario Provincial Police	Inspector Karl Duewel – Detachment Commander Sgt. Les McGrayne Acting Sgt Ron Fults Provincial Constable Clark Patterson Jessie Leutschaft, RPN/Crisis Worker
Staff	Aaron Gullins, CAO Lesley Sterling, Clerk Treasurer Roxanne Cox, Deputy Clerk

---

Land Acknowledgement

1. **Call to Order**

The Meeting of the Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board be called to order this 12th day of August 2026 at **1:02 p.m**.

2. **Approval of Agenda**

Motion # 07/2026
Moved by: Penny Lucas **Seconded by: Brad Greaves**
THAT, the Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board approve the agenda for this meeting dated the 12th day of August 2026, as **Amended.**

- Heading - Change 1:00 **a.m.** to 1:00 **p.m.**
- 4.1 - May 26, **20226** to May 26, **2026**
- 5.2 – Sergeant Steward to Sergeant Stewart
- 7.3 - Remove Policing Inspector - Introduction

CARRIED

DRAFT

3. Declarations of Pecuniary Interest and the General Nature Thereof - None

4. Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Motion #08/2026
Moved by: Jant Lett **Seconded by: Penny Lucas**

THAT, the Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board this 12th day of August 2026 approve the Minutes of May 26, 2026 as **Presented**.

CARRIED

5. Unfinished Business

Both Item 5.1, **Community Safety and Well-Being Plan**, and Item 5.2, **Community Working Group regarding Addiction**, were deferred to the next meeting, as Sgt. Stewart was not present to provide the required updates.

6. New Business

6.1 2025 Annual Report for the Dryden Detachment Cluster

Kim Baigrie explained that the attached report was originally intended to be presented to Council prior to June 30th and had been included on the last Council Meeting Agenda for Council's review. The report is now being brought forward to the Board for their review and to provide an opportunity for members to ask any questions they may have.

6.2 Reappointment of Penny Lucas effective August 14, 2026

Penny indicated that she did notify the PGO that she has put her name forward for the upcoming election.

7. Correspondence

7.1 PGO

All correspondence provided the Board with information regarding the upcoming municipal election and guidance for Board members who may be seeking municipal office.

It was noted that all Board members would be required to reapply for their positions following the election. The current Board may remain in place for up to six months following the election.

7.2 PGO Communications

Correspondence was provided for information only. There were no comments/concerns expressed.

7.3 Inspectorate of Policing

Inspector General Memo #11 – Notice of Issue: increase in Antisemitism and Hate-Motivated Incidents

Regarding Inspector General Memo #11 concerning the increase in antisemitism and hate-motivated incidents, Board members inquired whether this is something that has been occurring within the community, including in relation to Indigenous communities.

The Detachment Commander explained that this is not an issue that occurs frequently in the area. At present, there is no officer specifically assigned to hate-motivated incidents; however, should a situation arise requiring specialized assistance, an officer would be assigned to address the matter.

The Detachment Commander further clarified that while there may be instances of racism involving Indigenous communities, there are currently no hate-related crimes specifically targeting Indigenous communities. It was noted that racism and a hate-motivated crime are distinct matters, with hate-motivated crimes involving a different threshold and level of severity.

Inspector General Memo #12 – Release of the 2025 Annual Report

No members had any comments or concerns regarding the report.

A Board Member inquired about the status of having a First Nations individual appointed to the Board. It was explained that the opportunity has been posted on numerous occasions, and the Clerk-Treasurer has also reached out directly to the Chief regarding the matter. Sgt. McGrayne has also spoken with individuals in Saugeen; however, to date, no one has expressed an interest in participating as a Board Member.

The Detachment Commander advised that the Ojibway Nation of Saugeen is currently in transition and is still determining whether to remain with the Ontario Provincial Police (OPP) or transition to First Nations Policing. The Board should remain aware of any developments regarding this transition, as it may provide an opportunity to explore representation from the Ojibway Nation of Saugeen on the Board.

7.4 Resolution Support

Red Lake-Ear Falls OPP Detachment Board

Motion #09/2026
Moved by: Brad Greaves **Seconded by: Penny Lucas**

THAT, the Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board this 12th day of August 2026 hereby supports the North Grenville, Merrickville-Wolford Village OPP Detachment Board and the Corporation of the Village of Merrickville-Wolford regarding traffic calming and speeding mitigation techniques and their and their request to the Ontario Government to expand its stated interest and financial commitment to include all municipalities in Ontario, adopting a province-wide approach to public safety and hereby ensuring the well being of all Ontarios.

CARRIED

A Board member inquired whether any data is collected through the electronic speed signs currently located at each end of Town. The Detachment Commander explained that the existing signs do not collect or retain data. However, the Commander indicated that he believes there may be a grant available to the Municipality that could assist with the purchase of electronic speed signs capable of collecting traffic data.

The CAO also indicated that he believes the crosswalk will be installed within the year, which will have its own impact on traffic and pedestrian safety within the community.

8. Reports

8.1 OPP Quarterly Reports

The Detachment Commander provided a comprehensive overview of the Q2 Report.

Crisis Worker, Jessie Leutschaft and Provincial Constable, Clark Patterson from the Mobile Crisis Response Team provided a presentation to the Board. The presentation was very well received by the Board.

9. Committee Members Round Table Discussion

Several areas of concern were identified and discussed:

- A member shared a video from a dash cam of being run off the road by a tractor trailer while on a bridge between Ignace and Thunder Bay which was been reported to the Ignace OPP. The member asked the OPP for a follow up.
- There was discussion regarding the need for additional social media education and information on basic driving skills, with a focus on simple, memorable messaging and reminders about what is and is not permitted.
- Concerns were raised regarding ATVs and e-scotters/e-bikes use within the community, including:
    - ATV speeds in the Township appearing to exceed the posted 30 km/h limit.
    - ATV operators crossing private property.
    - E-Scooters are not permitted unless specifically allowed with a By-Law
    - E-Scooters cannot be legally operated by anyone under 16, and helmets are mandatory for all users
- Failure to stop at STOP signs.
- It was also suggested that the Township consider providing information and addressing these concerns on its website.

10. Adjournment

Motion # 10/2026
Moved by: Janet Lett **Seconded by: Penny Lucas**

THAT, the Meeting of the Ignace/Ojibway Nation of Saugeen OPP Detachment Board be adjourned this 12th day of August 2026, at **2:26 p.m.**

_____
Kim Baigrie, Chair

From: LeClair, Ronald (SOLGEN) <Ronald.LeClair@ontario.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 2, 2026 8:26 AM

Good Morning,

September has arrived, and along with the new month comes a rotation in the Advisors assigned by the Inspectorate of Policing. I am the new Advisor in Zone 1 and 1A. I am reaching out to provide my information. In my role, I will attend Detachment board meetings, either virtually or in person as time permits. I can support the Detachment Board and address any questions that may arise. I am requesting that I be provided with agendas in advance of all meetings
I am available by email or phone at your convenience; feel free to contact me.



Ron Le Clair
Advisor

Liaison Branch
777 Bay Street, 7th Floor
Toronto, ON M5G 2C8
Tel: **226-280-0166**
www.IOPOntario.ca

Improving policing performance to make everyone in Ontario safer

Follow us on our social media channels X and LinkedIn

As part of providing accessible customer service, if you have any accommodation needs, require communication supports, or alternate formats please let me know.

Outlook

Supporting Your Association: What Can We Build Together?

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Mon 10/19/2026 7:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>

Over the past few weeks, we've shared more about what your PGO membership supports today.

We've talked about the investment in Zones, the learning opportunities available throughout the year, access to expertise, peer connections, advocacy and some of the work happening behind the scenes.

But member value shouldn't stand still.

Police governance is changing, and the needs of Boards are changing with it.

So as we look toward 2027 and beyond, we're also asking a different question:

What else could a strong provincial association make possible for its members?

There is more we want to build

PGO will continue investing in the things Boards have told us they need.

Practical governance tools and resources.

More opportunities for Board members and administrators to learn and develop.

Stronger research and benchmarking so Boards have better information about what is happening across Ontario.

A stronger network of expertise.

Zones that provide meaningful regional learning and connection.

Earlier identification of emerging issues.

A stronger police governance voice in provincial conversations.

And better ways to connect Boards with one another when someone else has already learned the lesson, developed the approach or solved the problem.

But there are other opportunities we want to explore too.

What could we do better together than Boards can do alone?

There is strength in numbers.

More than 100 individual Boards may each need similar services, expertise, technology or professional support.

Individually, those Boards have limited purchasing power.

Collectively, the conversation could look very different.

Could PGO use the collective strength of its membership to negotiate preferred member pricing?

Are there group programs or shared services that could reduce costs or improve access for Boards?

Are there professional services that Boards regularly need where a provincial approach could provide better value?

Could we make specialized expertise easier to find and more affordable?

Are there technologies or governance supports that make more sense to develop once than for dozens of Boards to source independently?

We already have some ideas.

We expect you do too.

We aren't promising something that doesn't exist yet

There is an important distinction between an idea and a member program.

We want to do the work properly.

That means understanding what Boards actually need, whether enough members share that need, what the market can provide, what risks need to be considered and whether PGO can genuinely deliver better value by bringing Boards together.

Not every idea will make sense as a provincial program.

But some might.

And we think they are worth exploring.

We also know the work has changed

We don't want to talk about building the future of police governance without acknowledging the reality Boards are working in today.

The CSPA changed expectations for police governance in Ontario. Responsibilities have become more clearly defined, governance practices are receiving greater attention, and the Inspectorate of Policing has introduced a new level of oversight and accountability.

For many Boards, that has meant learning while doing.

Some are well along in building the policies, practices and governance systems they need. Others are still working through the transition. Some have strong administrative and municipal support around them. Others are operating with considerably fewer resources.

And every Board has its own challenges.

PGO's job is not to assume every Board is starting from the same place.

We want to meet Boards where they are and help them move forward from there.

That is the perspective behind the tools, guides, Governance Insights, learning opportunities and practical resources we are developing. They are intended to make the work more manageable, help Boards understand what good governance requires and provide practical ways to strengthen governance over time.

This isn't about achieving some imaginary version of the perfect Board.

It is about continuous improvement, stronger governance capacity and helping Boards become increasingly confident in the work they are being asked to do.

Good governance infrastructure makes public service more accessible

There is another reason this matters.

Police governance depends on people being willing to serve.

With municipal elections approaching, Boards will soon welcome new members. Over the years ahead, we will continue to need community members, municipal representatives and provincial appointees who are prepared to bring their experience, judgment and perspective to police governance.

If we want capable people to step forward, we also need to create an environment in which they can succeed.

That means clear expectations.

Good orientation.

Accessible learning.

Practical tools.

Strong administrative support.

Opportunities to learn from experienced governors.

And somewhere to turn when the work becomes complicated.

If the system is confusing, unsupported and difficult to navigate, we risk limiting participation to people who already understand government, policing or municipal systems.

But when expectations are clear and people have access to orientation, tools, education, administrative support and expertise, the pool of people who can realistically contribute becomes much larger.

Building governance capacity isn't only about supporting the people sitting around the Board table today. It is also about making police governance a role that the next generation of community contributors can see themselves stepping into.

That is part of the association we want to build too.

Tell us what would make the work better

Think about the things your Board spends time trying to find, buy, understand or build.

Where are you paying more because you're purchasing something on your own?

What expertise is difficult to access?

What services are you relying on a municipal or policing partner to provide?

What do you wish PGO could help with?

What would make your administrator's job easier?

What would help your members govern better?

And what could we potentially accomplish together that would be difficult for an individual Board to accomplish alone?

Perhaps the bigger question is this:

What would make the work of governing more manageable, more effective and more attractive to the people we will need around Ontario's police governance tables next?

TELL US WHAT YOU THINK by emailing us communications@policegovernanceontario.ca

Supporting your association works both ways

A strong association doesn't simply provide services to members.

It listens to them.

Members tell us what they are experiencing. They bring issues forward. They share expertise. They participate in surveys, Zones, Discussion Groups and learning opportunities. They help us understand where the gaps are.

PGO takes that information and decides where we can make a difference.

Sometimes that means education.

Sometimes it means finding or developing expertise.

Sometimes it means advocacy.

And increasingly, we want to ask whether there are opportunities to use the collective strength of the association to create something Boards couldn't easily create for themselves.

Supporting your association helps us support you.

Your membership gives PGO the capacity to do the work.

Your participation helps us decide where that capacity can have the greatest impact.

There is more we want to build.

We'd like you to help us decide what comes next.

Leading excellence in police governance.

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Outlook

Hold the Date: AI & Police Governance Virtual Summit | November 25

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Tue 9/29/2026 7:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>



Artificial intelligence is moving quickly from something we talk about to something organizations and individuals are already using.

For police Boards, that raises some important questions.

What exactly is AI?

What do Board members actually need to understand about how it works, what it can do, where it can go wrong, and why human judgment and critical thinking still matter?

Where is AI already being used in policing?

As police services explore and adopt AI-enabled technologies, Boards need to understand enough to exercise meaningful governance and oversight.

What should Boards know about the technologies being used by their police service? What questions should they be asking? What are the implications for privacy, accountability, bias, policy, risk, transparency and public trust?

Boards do not need to become technology experts.

They do need to know how to govern organizations that are increasingly using technology they may not fully understand.

And then there is another question.

What about AI around our own Board tables?

Board members and administrators increasingly have access to powerful AI tools, sometimes through applications they already use.

Are individual members already using AI to research issues, summarize information, prepare for meetings or develop their thinking?

Are documents or information being entered into AI tools without a clear understanding of privacy, confidentiality or information-security implications?

Are AI-generated answers being independently verified?

Could AI be influencing Board discussions or decisions without anyone knowing it was used?

And has your Board ever discussed what responsible AI use should look like?

Before we ask how AI can help us govern better, we need to understand how it may already be affecting our governance and what appropriate guardrails should be in place.

Only then can we explore the opportunity.

Used responsibly, AI has the potential to help Boards work with information, undertake research, prepare for meetings, identify better questions, test assumptions and increase their capacity to govern.

But AI cannot exercise governance for us.

It can increase our capacity to govern. It cannot assume our responsibility to govern.

Join us on November 25.

Police Governance Ontario is bringing these conversations together for a **full-day virtual summit dedicated to AI and police governance.**

We will start with the fundamentals and build from there:

Understand it.
What is AI and what do governors actually need to know?

Govern it.
Where is AI being used in policing and what does meaningful oversight look like?

Look inward.
How might AI already be used around our own Board tables, and what risks does that create?

Establish the guardrails.
What policies, practices, training and expectations should Boards consider?

Use it well.
How can AI responsibly support stronger and more effective governance?

Whether you are already using AI regularly, have only experimented with it, or aren't entirely sure what all the fuss is about, this Summit is being designed to meet you where you are and move the conversation forward.

The objective is not to turn Board members into AI experts.

It is to help us become better prepared governors in an environment that is changing quickly.

For now, we're asking you to do one thing:

Hold the date.

Wednesday, November 25, 2026
PGO AI & Police Governance Virtual Summit
Full Day | Virtual

A full program of speakers, sessions and practical learning will be announced over the coming weeks.

Registration information coming soon.

Police Governance Ontario
Leading excellence in police governance.

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Outlook

Provincial Offences Court and Security

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Thu 10/29/2026 2:05 PM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>

Hello Members,

PGO has received correspondence raising concerns about security in Provincial Offences courts. The documents are now available in the members portal under **Advocacy → Provincial Offences Act and Courts**.

The materials include a letter from the Association of Justices of the Peace of Ontario and an OPP memorandum concerning court-security procedures and enhanced security measures.

PGO's Advocacy Committee will review the information in the coming months to consider whether there is a role for PGO in advocacy on this issue.

Court security involves several partners and significant considerations, including facilities, staffing and costs to municipalities. Addressing concerns across different court locations will require careful consideration of these circumstances and the responsibilities of the organizations involved.

At this stage, PGO is sharing the correspondence for member awareness. No advocacy position has been adopted.

To access the documents:
Sign in to the members portal and visit **Advocacy → Provincial Offences Court Security**.


If there are any questions, please feel free to be in contact with our team.

Police Governance Ontario

Leading Excellence in Police Governance

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

From: Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2026 7:00 AM
To: Town Clerk <clerk@ignace.ca>
Subject: Crime Stoppers: considerations for boards and community communication



Understanding the local response

Recent developments involving the Crime Stoppers P3 reporting platform have raised concerns about information security, confidentiality and public trust.

PGO encourages boards to connect with their Chief or Detachment Commander to understand the status of the relationship with Crime Stoppers, any response being considered, and what information has been shared with the community.

This conversation also offers an opportunity to discuss how the board and service can communicate together where appropriate. A separate board statement may not be necessary.

A suggested approach

Step	Discussion to consider
1. Connect with police leadership	Open a conversation with the Chief or Detachment Commander about the recent developments and their local implications.
2. Understand the position	Ask whether the relationship with Crime Stoppers is continuing, changing or under review, and whether anything requires board consideration.
3. Ask about community communication	Find out whether information has already been shared or whether an update is planned. Where no decision has been reached, communication could acknowledge that the matter remains under review and that further updates will follow.
4. Discuss the board's involvement	Where communication is planned, consider whether it could include the board through a joint statement or another coordinated approach. If communication has already occurred, this could be an

	opportunity to discuss including the board in similar communications in the future.

The purpose is to support partnership, demonstrate alignment and transparency, and build public understanding of the board's role. The appropriate approach will depend on local circumstances.

Considerations for different board types

Municipal police service boards
A discussion with the Chief can help the board understand the service's assessment, its current relationship with Crime Stoppers, and any implications for agreements, policies, funding or public communication that may warrant board consideration.

OPP detachment boards
There may be two parts to the conversation with the Detachment Commander:

- Whether the OPP has an organizational position or direction regarding Crime Stoppers.
- Whether there are local detachment arrangements, relationships or communications to consider.

Understanding both can help the board determine whether it has a role in supporting communication with its communities.

First Nations police governing bodies
Similar discussions may be useful, taking account of the governing body's authority, policing arrangements and community relationships.

Background: how the issue developed

The following summarizes developments identified in OACP briefing material and public statements. The timing and extent of local impacts may differ.

Date	Development
March 2026	A cybersecurity incident involving the third-party P3 reporting platform came to light. The provider subsequently confirmed unauthorized access involving compromised credentials.
April–May 2026	Further investigation and communications addressed potential Canadian impacts and the process for obtaining information about affected data.
June 2026	Preliminary information indicated that several Ontario Crime Stoppers programs were affected. OACP issued its initial briefing on June 30.
July 2026	Further local disclosures and information about affected records became available, including in Waterloo Region and Toronto.
September 28, 2026	OACP issued a follow-up briefing addressing continuing concerns and the need for police partners to understand their local exposure.

October 21–22, 2026	Toronto and Hamilton publicly announced pauses in their use of Crime Stoppers reporting arrangements. These were local decisions and should not be interpreted as a province-wide position.
October 2026	OACP provided an updated briefing package addressing potential impacts and communication considerations.

Why a local conversation matters

The incident concerns a third-party reporting platform. It should not be described as a breach of police service networks. However, the potential implications for people who supplied information, investigations and public confidence make it important to understand the local circumstances. Boards may find it helpful to ask what is known, what remains uncertain, and when further information is expected. These discussions can focus on the response and governance implications without seeking identifying tip information or sensitive investigative details.

Any public messaging would benefit from reflecting confirmed information and avoiding assurances about anonymity or security that have not been verified. Direct reporting to police should not be presented as equivalent to anonymous reporting through Crime Stoppers.

PGO offers these considerations to support informed discussion and local decision-making. They are not directions to suspend a relationship, issue a statement or adopt a particular response.

Police Governance Ontario
Leading excellence in police governance.
This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Outlook

A Zone Is More Than Three Meetings on a Calendar

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Mon 9/21/2026 7:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>

Last year, PGO began a transition in how we support Zones across Ontario.

We collected Zone fees, helped distribute funds, supported banking arrangements where needed and worked with Zones to make some of the administrative pieces easier.

That was a start.

For 2027, we are taking the next step.

We are shifting our focus from funding Zones to building Zones as part of PGO's governance infrastructure.

That means putting some consistent structure around how Zones operate. More importantly, it means being clearer about what Zones are there to accomplish and what Boards should expect from the time and money they invest in participating.

Some structure helps

Beginning in 2027, PGO will introduce a procedural bylaw for Zones that provides a common foundation for how they operate.

Zones will still have the ability to develop their own policies where they feel additional direction is needed. We aren't trying to make every Zone identical. Geography, membership and regional priorities differ across Ontario.

But there are some things we believe should be consistent.

Zones will meet at least three times each year. At least one meeting will be held in person and at least one will be held in collaboration with the OACP.

As we shared in our 2027 budget communication, **each Zone will have $5,000 in funding provided through PGO membership revenues. Zone membership and participation are included as part of PGO membership and are not charged as a separate fee.** From those funds, the Zone Chair will receive $250 per meeting and the Zone administrator will receive $500 per meeting.

That funding is intended to support the core Zone structure. If a Zone chooses to hold additional meetings, undertake special activities or plan larger events beyond that structure, it can absolutely do so. Those additional activities will need to be planned and funded by the Zone.

PGO will continue to support the administration of Zone funds, banking and other practical needs, while introducing greater consistency in how funds are managed and reported.

Those are the mechanics.

But the mechanics aren't the reason Zones exist.

So, what is a Zone actually for?

A strong Zone creates a regional network for police governance.

It is a place to compare experiences and practices with other Boards. To identify emerging issues. To share priorities and learn how other Boards are approaching common challenges. To hear what is happening provincially from PGO staff and Board members. To bring forward issues that may be bigger than one Board or one community.

It should also be a place to learn.

PGO will be building more formal learning and micro-learning opportunities into Zone programming. Zones can also bring in guest speakers and subject-matter experts on issues that matter in their region or that help members develop the governance knowledge and skills they need around the Board table.

Done well, information should travel in both directions.

Board → Zone → PGO

A local issue may turn out to be happening across a region. A regional concern may identify the need for provincial research, a new resource, advocacy, training or a conversation with one of our partners.

And then:

PGO → Zone → Board

Provincial developments, governance resources, emerging practices and learning come back through the network to individual Boards.

That is what we mean when we talk about building Zones as part of PGO's governance infrastructure.

Working with OACP, while doing our own work

Our relationship with OACP remains important.

There are many issues where police leaders and police governors benefit from being in the same room, hearing from one another and understanding different perspectives. We want that collaboration to continue, which is why at least one Zone meeting each year will be held in collaboration with OACP.

But Police Governance Zones also have a different job to do.

Board members need dedicated opportunities to talk about **governance**.

They need to discuss the responsibilities they carry, compare practices with other Boards, work through emerging governance issues, develop their knowledge and judgment, and take useful information back to their own Board.

The goal isn't to separate police governance from police leadership. It is to make sure both have the opportunity to do their respective work well.

Making Zone meetings worth showing up for

We also understand why some Board members may have viewed Zone meetings as optional in the past.

If the governance portion of the day is brief, largely administrative, or simply fills whatever time remains after another agenda is finished, it can be difficult to justify the travel, time and expense required to participate.

That's what we want to change.

A Zone meeting shouldn't be measured by how quickly everyone can get through the agenda and head home.

It should be measured by what members are able to take back to their Boards.

Members should leave knowing something they didn't know when they arrived, having considered something differently, having built a useful connection, or carrying something practical back to their Board.

Sometimes that will take more than an hour or two.

An in-person Zone meeting may require travel, a full day and, depending on where you live in Ontario, an overnight stay. Boards should consider those costs when planning their annual governance budgets.

PGO's responsibility is to help make that investment worthwhile.

Participation needs to be possible too

Where a Zone meets matters.

As Zones plan their meetings, locations should be selected with accessibility and participation in mind, including appropriate audiovisual and connectivity supports for members who need to participate virtually.

We are well beyond the point where a room and a few chairs are enough to create meaningful regional participation.

Members joining remotely should be able to hear, contribute and participate in the work of the Zone.

The next chapter for Zones

PGO can provide funding, structure, administrative support, learning opportunities and access to expertise.

Zones bring regional knowledge, relationships and priorities.

Boards bring the people who make the network work.

All three matter.

A Zone is not three meetings on a calendar. It is a regional governance network.

Our goal for 2027 is to make Zone participation something Boards plan for, budget for and see as part of developing their governance capacity.

Because if we are asking members to invest their time and Boards to invest their resources, there needs to be something worth showing up for.

And there needs to be something worth taking home.

Leading excellence in police governance.

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

What are other OPP Detachment Boards paying?

Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund
Roxanne Cox

New 2026 benchmarking results give Boards a clearer picture of remuneration, expenses and the work behind effective governance.

What should OPP Detachment Board members be paid?

There may not be one right answer, but you should know what other Boards are doing.

Earlier this year, PGO asked OPP Detachment Boards across Ontario to tell us how they are approaching member remuneration, expense reimbursement and administrative support.

The results are in.

Twenty-four OPP Detachment Boards participated, giving us a useful snapshot of how remuneration is working two years into the new governance structure.

A few things stood out:

- **23 of 24** responding Boards remunerate at least some Board members.
- Per-meeting compensation remains the most common approach, used by **15 of the 23 Boards providing remuneration**.
- Among Boards with a clearly identifiable ordinary-member meeting rate, amounts ranged from approximately **$50 to $250 per meeting**, with a median of **$150**.
- **20 responding Boards** reported reimbursing Board-member expenses.
- Preparation, training, committee work, community engagement and other governance responsibilities are still recognized very differently from Board to Board.

And that last point may be the most important.

Remuneration isn't only about what someone receives for attending a meeting. It is also about how we recognize the work required to govern well.

The new **2026 OPP Detachment Board Remuneration Survey: Findings, Trends and Governance Considerations** compares the results with our original 2024 survey and provides questions Boards can use when reviewing their own arrangements or discussing remuneration with participating municipalities.

We have also identified where our next survey needs to go further, including provincial appointment vacancies, council-member remuneration, preparation and governance workload, and the criteria Boards use for expense reimbursement.

See how your Board compares

Log in to the PGO Members Portal to review the full 2026 findings and the original 2024 report.

ACCESS THE REMUNERATION SURVEY RESULTS

Whether your Board is reviewing remuneration now or simply wants to understand how its practices compare across the province, we hope the findings help support a more informed conversation.

Police Governance Ontario
Leading Excellence in Police Governance

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Outlook

What Are OPP Detachment Board Members Being Paid Across Ontario?

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Thu 8/20/2026 6:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>

OPP DETACHMENT BOARD REMUNERATION SURVEY

What does remuneration for OPP Detachment Board members actually look like across Ontario?

Since the transition to the new OPP Detachment Board framework, Boards and municipalities have had to make decisions about how Board members are compensated, what activities are covered and how those costs are administered.

We know those approaches are not necessarily the same from one Board to another.

PGO is launching an **OPP Detachment Board Remuneration Survey** to build a clearer province-wide picture of current practices.

The survey looks at areas including annual honorariums and per-meeting rates, remuneration for Chairs and Vice-Chairs, compensation for activities outside regular Board meetings, travel and expense reimbursement, and how remuneration is administered.

Why are we collecting this information?

Boards regularly ask PGO what other Boards are doing.

Good benchmarking gives us something better than anecdotal answers.

The information collected through this survey will help PGO:

- identify common remuneration practices across OPP Detachment Boards;
- understand where significant differences exist;
- provide Boards with useful comparative information;
- identify issues that may require additional guidance or advocacy; and
- build a stronger evidence base for future discussions about Board member remuneration.

And we will give the information back to you.

Once the survey has concluded, PGO will compile and analyze the responses. A summary of the findings will be distributed to members and published in the Members Portal as a resource for future remuneration and budget discussions.

One response per Board, please

To make sure the results accurately reflect Board practices and are not affected by duplicate submissions, **we are asking for one completed survey per OPP Detachment Board.**

We recommend that the Board Administrator/Secretary, or another person familiar with the Board's remuneration arrangements, coordinate and submit the response on behalf of the Board.

We need your Board represented

The value of the final results depends on participation.

The more OPP Detachment Boards that respond, the more useful the information will be when Boards want to understand how their own practices compare with others across Ontario.

Please complete the survey by Friday September 11, 2026.

Link to Survey

Thank you for helping us build a clearer picture of OPP Detachment Board remuneration across Ontario.

Police Governance Ontario

Leading Excellence in Police Governance

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Outlook

PGO Governance Briefing Series: Interpreting the Inspector General of Policing's 2025 Annual Report

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Tue 9/8/2026 7:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>

Governance Briefing No. 7: Planning for the Future

Building the Governance Capacity Boards Will Need Next

Why This Matters

Throughout this Governance Briefing Series, Police Governance Ontario has explored the broader governance messages emerging from the Inspector General of Policing's 2025 Annual Report.

Integrity and accountability.

Governance effectiveness.

Oversight and continuous learning.

Evidence-informed decision-making.

Governance readiness.

Together, these themes point toward an important question for every police service board:

What kind of board will we need to be in the years ahead?

Police governance does not stand still.

Communities change. Public expectations change. Technology changes. Information changes. Policing changes. New risks and opportunities emerge.

The governance practices that were sufficient yesterday may not be enough tomorrow.

Planning for the future therefore means more than anticipating changes in legislation or responding to new oversight expectations.

It means continually building the capacity of the board itself.

Effective boards understand their current responsibilities while remaining prepared to learn, adapt and strengthen their governance as the environment around them changes.

What Does This Mean for Municipal Police Service Boards?

Municipal Police Service Boards operate in an increasingly complex governance environment.

Boards are expected to provide effective civilian oversight while considering strategic priorities, community safety, organizational performance, financial pressures, emerging technologies, public expectations and changing community needs.

No board can predict every issue it will encounter.

It can, however, build the governance capacity required to respond effectively.

Boards should consider:

- whether members understand emerging issues affecting police governance;
- whether strategic planning reflects changing community needs;
- whether reporting allows the board to identify trends and emerging risks;
- whether members have opportunities for continued governance education;
- whether board policies and practices evolve as expectations change;
- whether succession and transition are considered as part of governance planning; and
- whether the board regularly considers what capabilities it will need in the future.

Future readiness is not achieved through a single planning exercise.

It comes from creating a board culture that values learning, reflection and continuous improvement.

What Does This Mean for OPP Detachment Boards?

OPP Detachment Boards continue to develop their role within Ontario's police governance system.

As boards gain experience under the Community Safety and Policing Act, the opportunity is to move beyond establishing governance structures toward strengthening the quality and impact of governance.

This includes considering how boards can:

- use Local Action Plans to support longer-term governance discussions;
- better understand changing community safety priorities;
- use Detachment Commander reporting to identify trends and outcomes;
- strengthen relationships across the communities they serve;
- prepare new members to contribute effectively;
- preserve governance knowledge when membership changes; and
- continue building the knowledge and confidence of the board.

The future of effective OPP Detachment Board governance will depend not simply on whether boards fulfill their required responsibilities, but on how well they use those responsibilities to support informed civilian oversight and community safety.

What Does This Mean for First Nation Police Service Boards?

For First Nation Police Service Boards operating under the Community Safety and Policing Act, planning for the future includes strengthening governance capacity while continuing to reflect the priorities, values and aspirations of the Nation.

Future governance needs may be influenced by changes in community priorities, policing arrangements, available resources, technology, legislation and expectations for accountability.

Boards should consider how governance can continue to evolve while remaining grounded in the communities they serve.

This may include:

- developing future board members and governance capacity;
- preserving institutional and community knowledge;
- strengthening access to meaningful information;
- supporting continued board education;
- ensuring community priorities remain central to governance discussions; and
- identifying governance practices that need to evolve as circumstances change.

Strong governance should provide stability while still allowing the board to adapt.

Governance Considerations

Municipal Police Service Boards

- What emerging issues will require greater board knowledge over the next several years?
- Does our strategic planning process help us anticipate changing community needs?
- Are we developing the governance knowledge and skills of current members?
- How are we preparing for future board turnover?
- What information will we need to govern effectively in a changing policing environment?
- What governance capacity should we begin building now?

OPP Detachment Boards

- How has our governance changed since the board was established?
- What have we learned about the information and support we need?
- Are Local Action Plans helping us think beyond immediate policing activity?
- How are we preparing future members to understand the board's role?
- What governance practices should become stronger over the next several years?
- How can the board continue increasing its contribution to the communities it serves?

First Nation Police Service Boards

- What governance capacity will the board need in the future?
- How are we preserving knowledge while developing future board members?
- What emerging community priorities should inform future governance?
- Does the board have access to the information and learning it needs?
- How can governance continue to evolve while remaining grounded in the priorities and values of the Nation?

Board Action Items

Before your next board meeting, consider:

- Identifying one emerging issue the board needs to understand better.
- Discussing what knowledge or skills future board members will need.
- Reviewing whether current reporting helps the board identify emerging trends as well as current activity.
- Identifying one governance practice that should evolve over the next two to three years.
- Considering how institutional knowledge will be preserved when board membership changes.
- Adding a regular future-focused governance discussion to the board's annual work cycle.

PGO Governance Perspective

The Inspector General of Policing's 2025 Annual Report provides an opportunity for boards to consider not only where police governance stands today, but where it is going.

Across this Governance Briefing Series, one message has remained consistent:

Effective governance requires more than compliance.

It requires boards to understand their responsibilities, maintain strong governance systems, ask thoughtful questions, use meaningful information, learn from emerging oversight expectations and continually strengthen their own capacity.

No board will ever reach a point where its governance is finished.

There will always be new members.

New community priorities.

New information.

New technologies.

New challenges.

And new expectations.

The objective is not to predict every change.

It is to build boards capable of governing effectively through change.

That requires a commitment to learning, reflection, preparation and continuous improvement.

The future of police governance will not be determined only by the responsibilities given to boards. It will also be determined by what boards are prepared to do with them.

Police Governance Ontario will continue to support boards as that work evolves — providing practical guidance, governance resources, learning opportunities and opportunities for boards to learn from one another.

Strong governance is built over time.

And preparing for what comes next is part of governing well today.

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Outlook

PGO Governance Briefing Series: Interpreting the Inspector General of Policing's 2025 Annual Report

---

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Mon 8/31/2026 7:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>

Governance Briefing No. 6: Governance Readiness

Building Governance Systems That Support Accountability, Transparency and Continuous Improvement

Why This Matters

The Inspector General of Policing's 2025 Annual Report reinforces that effective governance is not demonstrated only when a board responds to a significant issue, inspection or concern.

It is built through the governance practices that are in place every day.

Policies, reporting processes, meeting practices, planning, board education, records, performance monitoring and regular review all contribute to a board's ability to fulfill its responsibilities.

Together, these practices create governance readiness.

A governance-ready board does not wait for a problem before asking whether its policies are current, whether members understand their responsibilities or whether the board is receiving the information it needs.

It regularly considers whether its governance systems continue to support effective oversight.

The question is not simply:

"Are we prepared if something happens?"

It is:

"Are our governance practices helping us fulfill our responsibilities every day?"

Governance readiness is not about anticipating every possible issue.

It is about building strong enough governance practices that the board is prepared to respond when circumstances change, new issues emerge or greater scrutiny is required.

What Does This Mean for Municipal Police Service Boards?

Municipal Police Service Boards have significant responsibilities for policy, strategic planning, oversight, performance and accountability.

Strong governance systems help boards carry out those responsibilities consistently.

Boards should consider whether:

- governance policies are current and regularly reviewed;
- members understand their individual and collective responsibilities;
- reporting supports meaningful oversight;
- strategic priorities are regularly monitored;
- decisions and follow-up responsibilities are clearly documented;
- board education continues beyond mandatory training; and
- governance practices are periodically reviewed for effectiveness.

Governance readiness also means understanding where weaknesses may exist before those weaknesses become problems.

A policy that has not been reviewed for several years, reporting that no longer supports the board's priorities or unclear responsibilities between the board and Chief may not create an immediate issue.

Over time, however, governance gaps can create risk.

Regular review allows boards to identify and address those gaps proactively.

What Does This Mean for OPP Detachment Boards?

Many OPP Detachment Boards are continuing to develop their governance practices under the Community Safety and Policing Act.

Governance readiness provides an opportunity to move from establishing the basic requirements of the board toward developing consistent and sustainable governance practices.

Boards should consider whether they have:

- current policies and procedures;
- clear meeting and decision-making practices;
- an established process for reviewing Detachment Commander reports;
- a process for monitoring Local Action Plan priorities;
- regular opportunities for board education;
- clear administrative responsibilities;
- an annual governance work cycle; and
- a process for reviewing and improving their own governance practices.

This is particularly important as board membership changes over time.

Strong governance should not depend entirely on the knowledge or experience of individual members.

Policies, records, processes and established practices help preserve continuity and institutional knowledge when members leave and new members join.

What Does This Mean for First Nation Police Service Boards?

For First Nation Police Service Boards operating under the Community Safety and Policing Act, governance readiness includes establishing practices that support legislative responsibilities while reflecting the governance context, priorities and values of the Nation.

Strong governance systems should support the board without becoming unnecessarily complicated or disconnected from the community it serves.

Boards should consider whether their governance practices provide:

- clarity about roles and responsibilities;
- consistent decision-making processes;
- appropriate policies and records;
- meaningful information for oversight;
- opportunities for ongoing learning;
- continuity when board membership changes; and
- appropriate connections between governance responsibilities and community priorities.

Governance readiness should strengthen the board's ability to govern in a way that is both accountable and responsive to the Nation it serves.

Governance Considerations

Municipal Police Service Boards

- When did we last review our governance policies?
- Are board responsibilities clearly understood by members?
- Do our reporting and meeting practices support meaningful oversight?
- How do we monitor progress against strategic priorities?
- How do we identify areas where our governance could be strengthened?
- Would our governance systems continue to function effectively if several experienced members left the board?

OPP Detachment Boards

- Have we moved beyond establishing the board to building sustainable governance practices?
- Do we have a regular governance work cycle?
- Are Local Action Plan priorities incorporated into board discussions throughout the year?
- Are our policies, records and processes clear enough to support future members?
- How are we preserving institutional knowledge as board membership changes?
- What governance practice should we strengthen next?

First Nation Police Service Boards

- Do our governance practices support both accountability and the priorities of the Nation?
- Are roles and responsibilities clearly understood?
- Do our processes support continuity when board membership changes?
- Are governance practices practical and appropriate for our local context?
- What additional governance capacity would strengthen the board?

Board Action Items

Before your next board meeting, consider:

- Reviewing the date your key governance policies were last updated.
- Identifying whether the board has a regular schedule for policy review.
- Reviewing how decisions, commitments and follow-up actions are tracked.
- Identifying one governance process that currently depends too heavily on the knowledge of one person.
- Reviewing how new board members would learn about outstanding priorities, previous decisions and current governance responsibilities.
- Identifying one governance practice the board could strengthen over the next year.

PGO Governance Perspective

Strong governance is rarely built in response to a single event.

It is built gradually through consistent practices.

Current policies matter.

Meaningful reporting matters.

Good records matter.

Training matters.

Clear roles matter.

Regular reflection matters.

Each contributes to a governance system that allows a board to fulfill its responsibilities consistently and respond effectively when circumstances change.

Governance readiness does not mean having a policy or procedure for every possible situation.

It means having a strong enough governance foundation that the board knows its role, has access to the information it needs and has established practices for making decisions, exercising oversight and improving over time.

The strength of a board's governance is often most visible when it is tested.

The best time to strengthen governance is before that test arrives.

Police Governance Ontario will continue to support boards in developing practical governance systems, resources and learning opportunities that strengthen accountability, transparency, continuity and effective civilian oversight.

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.

Solicitor General

Office of the Solicitor General

25 Grosvenor Street, 18th Floor
Toronto ON M7A 1Y6
Tel: 416 326-5000
Toll Free: 1 866 517-0571
Minister.SOLGEN@ontario.ca

Solliciteur général

Bureau du solliciteur général

25, rue Grosvenor, 18e étage
Toronto ON M7A 1Y6
Tél. : 416 326-5000
Sans frais : 1 866 517-0571
Minister.SOLGEN@ontario.ca

Ontario

132-2026-3665
By email

August 14, 2026

Dear Heads of Council, Chief Administrative Officers and Clerks of OPP-Policed Municipalities:

I am writing to provide you with an update on OPP municipal policing costs for 2027.

Our government recognizes the importance of providing municipalities with predictability as you plan your budgets, while ensuring the OPP has the resources it requires to continue delivering high-quality policing services and keeping communities safe.

With that in mind, we are taking action to limit increases in OPP policing costs for municipalities in 2027.

For the 2027 calendar year, no OPP-policed municipality will see its total amount owed for OPP policing increase by more than 11 per cent compared to 2026, excluding costs associated with any additional policing services a municipality has chosen to receive through a separate agreement with the OPP.

This is a time-limited measure for the 2027 OPP billing cycle, intended to provide municipalities with cost certainty to support local budget planning.

To implement this change, an amendment has been made to Ontario Regulation 413/23: Amount Payable by Municipalities for Policing from Ontario Provincial Police, made under the *Community Safety and Policing Act, 2019* (CSPA). The amendment is being filed with the Registrar of Regulations and will be publicly available through the e-Laws page in the coming days.

The OPP is currently preparing 2027 annual billing statements, which are expected to be provided to municipalities this fall.

We value the important partnership between the province, municipalities and the OPP in keeping Ontario communities safe. We also recognize the importance of ensuring policing costs are sustainable and predictable for municipalities, and we will continue working with our municipal partners on these shared priorities.

.../2

Should you have any questions about the regulatory updates, please reach out to solgeninput@ontario.ca. Questions regarding your municipality's annual OPP billing statement can be directed to OPP Municipal Policing at opp.municipalpolicing@opp.ca.

Sincerely,



The Honourable Michael S. Kerzner
Solicitor General

c: Mario Di Tommaso, O.O.M.
Deputy Solicitor General, Community Safety

Thomas Carrique, C.O.M.
Commissioner, Ontario Provincial Police

TOWNSHIP OF
McNab/Braeside
Where nature awaits.

September 10, 2026

The Honourable Michael S. Kerzner
Office of the Solicitor General
25 Grosvenor Street, 18th Floor
Toronto, ON M7A 1Y6

Re: Request for Review of the OPP Municipal Policing Cost Model

Dear Minister Kerzner:

The Township of McNab/Braeside is writing to express its strong opposition to the current Ontario Provincial Police (OPP) municipal policing cost model and the significant cost increases being imposed on small rural municipalities. While the Province has limited the increase in OPP policing costs for 2027 to a maximum of 11 per cent, an increase of up to 11 per cent is not reasonable or sustainable for a small rural municipality. This level of increase places significant pressure on municipal budgets and local taxpayers, particularly at a time when communities are already facing substantial economic pressures.

The issue is not the importance of effective policing or the services provided by the OPP. The Township recognizes and values the OPP's role in keeping our community safe. Our concern is that the current funding model does not fairly reflect the financial realities and policing circumstances of small rural municipalities.

Municipalities with populations of 10,000 or less can have substantially different circumstances than larger urban communities, including smaller tax bases, larger geographic areas and different policing demands. These factors should be meaningfully reflected in how policing costs are allocated.

The Township therefore respectfully requests that the Province undertake a comprehensive review of the OPP municipal policing cost model and consider factors including population, geographic area, policing demand, calls for service and municipal financial capacity when determining municipal policing costs.

Small rural municipalities should not be expected to absorb increases of this magnitude under a funding model that does not adequately recognize the differences between rural and urban communities.

The Township urges the Province to re-evaluate the OPP municipal policing cost model and develop a more equitable, predictable and sustainable approach to policing costs for small rural municipalities.

The Township invites recipient municipalities to consider passing a resolution of support at an upcoming council meeting. Please forward any supporting motions to the Office of the Solicitor General to amplify this vital advocacy for rural communities.

Sincerely,

Mandy Cannon
CAO, Interim Clerk
Township of McNab/Braeside

cc:
MPP Billy Denault, Renfrew–Nipissing–Pembroke
All Ontario Municipalities

Township of McNab/Braeside • 2473 Russett Drive, Arnprior, ON K7S 3G8
Tel: 613-623-5756 • Fax: 613-623-9138 • Toll Free: 1-800-957-4621
mcnabbraeside.com

MUNICIPALITY OF IGNACE

Police Services Board
Detachment Commander's Report

July - September
2026 Third Quarter Report

Forging strong and effective partnerships with our communities

Presented: October 14, 2026

Inspector Karl DUEWEL
A/S/Sgt Erin HUCKELL

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026


Public Complaints (Detachment Level Only)

July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
INCIDENT_TYPE	Count	% Change	Count	% Change	Count	% Change
Conduct	0	--	0	--	0	--
Policy	0	--	0	--	0	--
Service	0	--	0	--	0	--
Special Constable	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**0**	**--**	**0**	**--**	**0**	**--**

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
INCIDENT_TYPE	Count	% Change	Count	% Change	Count	% Change
Conduct	0	--	0	--	0	--
Policy	0	--	0	--	0	--
Service	0	--	0	--	0	--
Special Constable	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**0**	**--**	**0**	**--**	**0**	**--**



Data source: RMS Data Feed
Ontario Provincial Police, Professional Standards Bureau Commander Reports - File Manager System


Data source date:
(Blank)

Daily Activity Reporting

Daily Activity Reporting Patrol Hours

July - September

ODCdescr	2024	2025	2026
Patrol-Cruiser	883.25	867.75	1,497.25
Patrol-Aircraft	0.00	0.00	0.00
Patrol-Motorcycle	0.00	0.00	0.00
Patrol-Marine	0.00	27.50	12.00
Patrol-ATV	0.00	0.00	0.00
Patrol-Snowmobile	0.00	0.00	0.00
Patrol-Bicycle	0.00	4.00	0.00
Patrol-Foot	61.00	41.00	21.00
Patrol-School	9.50	0.00	4.00
Total	**953.75**	**940.25**	**1,534.25**



Data source (Daily Activity Reporting System) date:
07-Oct-2026

Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN
Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Data source date:
(Blank)

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026

Violent Crime


July-September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ViolationGrp	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change
Homicides	0	--	0	--	0	--
Other Offences Causing Death	0	--	0	--	0	--
Attempted Murder	0	--	0	--	0	--
Sexual Offences	2	-33.3%	0	-100.0%	1	--
Assaults/Firearm Related Offences	16	128.6%	14	-12.5%	14	0.0%
Offences Resulting in the Deprivation of Freedom	0	--	0	--	0	--
Robbery	0	--	0	--	0	--
Other Offences Involving Violence or the Threat of Violence	6	500.0%	3	-50.0%	3	0.0%
Offences in Relation to Sexual Services	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**24**	**118.2%**	**17**	**-29.2%**	**18**	**5.9%**

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ViolationGrp	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change
Homicides	0	--	0	--	0	--
Other Offences Causing Death	0	--	0	--	0	--
Attempted Murder	0	--	0	--	0	--
Sexual Offences	8	14.3%	4	-50.0%	3	-25.0%
Assaults/Firearm Related Offences	30	20.0%	26	-13.3%	38	46.2%
Offences Resulting in the Deprivation of Freedom	0	-100.0%	1	--	0	-100.0%
Robbery	0	--	2	--	0	-100.0%
Other Offences Involving Violence or the Threat of Violence	14	55.6%	8	-42.9%	6	-25.0%
Offences in Relation to Sexual Services	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**52**	**23.8%**	**41**	**-21.2%**	**47**	**14.6%**

July - September



Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
07-Oct-2026

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026

Property Crime


July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ViolationGrp	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change
Arson	0	--	0	--	0	--
Break and Enter	0	-100.0%	1	--	2	100.0%
Theft Over $5000	0	-100.0%	1	--	0	-100.0%
Theft Under $5000	5	-44.4%	4	-20.0%	2	-50.0%
Possession/Trafficking Stolen Goods	2	--	0	-100.0%	0	--
Fraud	2	--	3	50.0%	1	-66.7%
Mischief	3	0.0%	1	-66.7%	3	200.0%
Total	**12**	**-33.3%**	**10**	**-16.7%**	**8**	**-20.0%**

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ViolationGrp	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change
Arson	0	--	0	--	1	--
Break and Enter	5	-50.0%	7	40.0%	5	-28.6%
Theft Over $5000	2	-60.0%	2	0.0%	1	-50.0%
Theft Under $5000	10	-28.6%	8	-20.0%	9	12.5%
Possession/Trafficking Stolen Goods	3	--	4	33.3%	0	-100.0%
Fraud	4	0.0%	6	50.0%	9	50.0%
Mischief	14	-17.6%	6	-57.1%	5	-16.7%
Total	**38**	**-24.0%**	**33**	**-13.2%**	**30**	**-9.1%**

July - September



Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
07-Oct-2026

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026

Drug Crime


July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ViolationGrp	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change
Possession	0	--	0	--	0	--
Trafficking	0	--	1	--	0	-100.0%
Importation & Production	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Possession	0	--	0	--	1	--
Cannabis Distribution	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Sale	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Importation & Exportation	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Production	0	--	0	--	0	--
Other Cannabis Violations	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**0**	**--**	**1**	**--**	**1**	**0.0%**

YTD


Year	2024		2025		2026	
ViolationGrp	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change	Actual	% Change
Possession	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	3	200.0%
Trafficking	2	0.0%	1	-50.0%	1	0.0%
Importation & Production	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Possession	0	--	1	--	1	0.0%
Cannabis Distribution	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Sale	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Importation & Exportation	0	--	0	--	0	--
Cannabis Production	0	--	0	--	0	--
Other Cannabis Violations	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**3**	**0.0%**	**3**	**0.0%**	**5**	**66.7%**

July - September



Top 3 Violation Types

July - September



Top 5 Violation Groups

July - September

ViolationGrp	2023	2024	2025	2026	Total
Assaults/Firearm Related Offences	7	16	14	14	**51**
Provincial Statutes	8	16	12	12	**48**
Failure to Comply	7	7	2	8	**24**
Theft Under $5000	9	5	4	2	**20**
Other Offences Involving Violence or the Threat of Violence	1	6	3	3	**13**

Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
07-Oct-2026

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026

Criminal Code and Provincial Statute Charges Laid


July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ChargeCategory1	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change
Criminal Code Non-Traffic	74	131.3%	57	-23.0%	48	-15.8%
Criminal Code Traffic	11	120.0%	4	-63.6%	13	225.0%
Highway Traffic Act	591	-2.5%	455	-23.0%	777	70.8%
Liquor Licence Act	0	-100.0%	2	--	3	50.0%
Other Violations	23	21.1%	26	13.0%	29	11.5%
Total	**699**	**5.1%**	**544**	**-22.2%**	**870**	**59.9%**

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ChargeCategory1	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change
Criminal Code Non-Traffic	152	27.7%	142	-6.6%	130	-8.5%
Criminal Code Traffic	14	-33.3%	26	85.7%	32	23.1%
Highway Traffic Act	2,590	85.7%	1,266	-51.1%	1,644	29.9%
Liquor Licence Act	1	-85.7%	7	600.0%	10	42.9%
Other Violations	78	27.9%	71	-9.0%	86	21.1%
Total	**2,835**	**76.9%**	**1,512**	**-46.7%**	**1,902**	**25.8%**

July - September



Traffic Related Charges


July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ChargeCategory2	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change
Speeding	383	-14.5%	299	-21.9%	562	88.0%
Seatbelt	21	162.5%	22	4.8%	20	-9.1%
Impaired	6	20.0%	2	-66.7%	8	300.0%
Distracted	1	-50.0%	2	100.0%	0	-100.0%

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
ChargeCategory2	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change	Offence Count	% Change
Speeding	1,622	54.3%	788	-51.4%	1,124	42.6%
Seatbelt	54	145.5%	42	-22.2%	32	-23.8%
Impaired	6	-66.7%	15	150.0%	22	46.7%
Distracted	1	-80.0%	8	700.0%	4	-50.0%

July - September



Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
7-Oct-26

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

81 HTA Charges laid between July 1 and September 30, 2026




OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026

Mental Health Act Occurrences


July - September

Year	Occurrences	Unfounded
2024	10	0
2025	15	0
2026	12	0



YTD

Year	Occurrences	Unfounded
2024	70	0
2025	27	0
2026	38	0



Mental Health Act Occurrences by Occurrence Type

July - September

Year	2026	
OccurrenceType	Occurrences	Unfounded
Assault	1	0
Assist Other Non Police Agency	1	0
Attempt or threat of suicide	3	0
Bail violations	1	0
Cyber Enabled Crime - Cyberbullying/Harassment/Stalking	1	0
Intimate Partner Violence	1	0
Mental health act	3	0
Missing person	1	0
Total	**12**	**0**

Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
07-Oct-2026

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026


Clearance Rate

July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
	%	% Change	%	% Change	%	% Change
Violent	83.3%	-8.3%	76.5%	-8.2%	94.4%	23.5%
Property	50.0%	125.0%	30.0%	-40.0%	25.0%	-16.7%
Other	100.0%	50.0%	83.3%	-16.7%	78.6%	-5.7%
Drugs			100.0%	--	100.0%	0.0%
Fed Statutes		-100.0%			100.0%	--
Prov Statutes	100.0%	0.0%	91.7%	-8.3%	100.0%	9.1%
Driving Offences	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
Violation_rollup	%	% Change	%	% Change	%	% Change
Violent	80.8%	-3.1%	80.5%	-0.3%	91.5%	13.7%
Property	44.7%	31.6%	51.5%	15.2%	30.0%	-41.8%
Other	92.9%	21.4%	95.8%	3.2%	87.5%	-8.7%
Drugs	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
Fed Statutes		-100.0%	0.0%	--	100.0%	--
Prov Statutes	96.7%	-0.2%	89.3%	-7.6%	96.0%	7.5%
Driving Offences	60.0%	-40.0%	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%



Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
07-Oct-2026

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

OPP Detachment Board Report
Records Management System
July - September 2026


Unfounded

July - September

Year	2024		2025		2026	
Violation_rollup	Count	% Change	Count	% Change	Count	% Change
Violent	0	-100.0%	0	--	3	--
Property	1	-66.7%	0	-100.0%	1	--
Other	1	--	0	-100.0%	1	--
Drugs	0	--	0	--	0	--
Fed Statutes	0	--	0	--	0	--
Prov Statutes	0	-100.0%	0	--	0	--
Driving Offences	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**2**	**-60.0%**	**0**	**-100.0%**	**5**	**--**

YTD

Year	2024		2025		2026	
Violation_rollup	Count	% Change	Count	% Change	Count	% Change
Violent	0	-100.0%	1	--	8	700.0%
Property	5	-50.0%	4	-20.0%	5	25.0%
Other	3	-25.0%	0	-100.0%	2	--
Drugs	0	--	0	--	0	--
Fed Statutes	0	--	0	--	0	--
Prov Statutes	0	-100.0%	0	--	0	--
Driving Offences	0	--	0	--	0	--
Total	**8**	**-57.9%**	**5**	**-37.5%**	**15**	**200.0%**



Detachment: 2C - DRYDEN

Location code(s): 2C10 - IGNACE

Area(s): ALL

Data source date:
07-Oct-2026

Report Generated on:
08-Oct-2026 11:31:34 AM

Calls for Service Report
Municipality of Ignace
July - September
2026 Third Quarter Report

	2026				2025			
Billing Category Summaries	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd Weighted Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd Weighted Hrs
Operational	102	276	3.9	1076.4	84	201	3.9	783.9
Violent Criminal Code	20	51	14.8	754.8	13	35	14.8	518.0
Property Crime Violations	8	32	6.2	198.4	9	26	6.2	161.2
Operational2	10	31	1.7	52.7	11	24	1.7	40.8
Other Criminal Code Violations (Excluding traffic)	12	28	7.1	198.8	5	21	7.1	149.1
Statutes & Acts	7	24	3.5	84	14	23	3.5	80.5
Traffic	5	10	3.8	38	6	16	3.8	60.8
Drug Possession		2	5.9	11.8	1	2	5.9	11.8
Drugs	1	2	88.1	176.2			88.1	
Total	**165**	**456**		**2591.1**	**143**	**348**		**1806.1**

Billing Category: Operational Offence	2026				2025			
	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
Animal - Bear Complaint		2	3.9	7.8	12	12	3.9	46.8
Animal - Dog Owners Liability Act		1	3.9	3.9	1	1	3.9	3.9
Animal - Master Code	1	1	3.9	3.9		1	3.9	3.9
Animal - Other		1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Animal Bite	2	2	3.9	7.8			3.9	
Animal Injured	1	2	3.9	7.8			3.9	
Animal Stray	1	12	3.9	46.8	4	6	3.9	23.4
Assist Fire Department	1	1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Assist Public	11	34	3.9	132.6	6	25	3.9	97.5
Distressed / Overdue Motorist		1	3.9	3.9		3	3.9	11.7
Domestic Disturbance	16	43	3.9	167.7	12	31	3.9	120.9
FAMILY DISPUTE	19	57	3.9	222.3	4	16	3.9	62.4
Fire - Building			3.9		1	1	3.9	3.9
Fire - Other	2	3	3.9	11.7	1	1	3.9	3.9
Fire - Vehicle		1	3.9	3.9	1	1	3.9	3.9
Found - Household Property		1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Found - Personal Accessories		2	3.9	7.8			3.9	
Found - Radio, TV, Sound-Reprod. Equip.	1	1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Found Property - Master Code	3	11	3.9	42.9	2	4	3.9	15.6
Insecure Condition - Building		1	3.9	3.9		1	3.9	3.9
Insecure Condition - Master Code		1	3.9	3.9		1	3.9	3.9
Lost - License Plate	1	2	3.9	7.8			3.9	
Lost - Others	1	1	3.9	3.9		1	3.9	3.9
Lost - Personal Accessories	1	1	3.9	3.9		2	3.9	7.8
Lost Property - Master Code	1	3	3.9	11.7	3	6	3.9	23.4
Missing Person 12 & older	1	1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Missing Person Located 12 & older	1	3	3.9	11.7			3.9	
Neighbour Dispute	6	14	3.9	54.6	9	22	3.9	85.8
Noise Complaint - Animal		1	3.9	3.9	3	4	3.9	15.6
Noise Complaint - Master Code	5	6	3.9	23.4	2	11	3.9	42.9
Noise Complaint - Others			3.9			1	3.9	3.9
Noise Complaint - Residence			3.9		1	3	3.9	11.7
Phone - Master Code	1	1	3.9	3.9	1	1	3.9	3.9
Phone - Nuisance - No Charges Laid		2	3.9	7.8			3.9	
Phone - Other - No Charges Laid	1	1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Sudden Death - Apparent Overdose/Overdose			3.9			1	3.9	3.9
Sudden Death - Natural Causes		2	3.9	7.8			3.9	
Sudden Death - Others	1	1	3.9	3.9		1	3.9	3.9
Suspicious Person	6	13	3.9	50.7	3	12	3.9	46.8
Suspicious vehicle		2	3.9	7.8	5	7	3.9	27.3
Traffic By-Law	1	1	3.9	3.9			3.9	
Trouble with Youth	7	19	3.9	74.1	1	3	3.9	11.7
Unwanted Persons	10	24	3.9	93.6	12	21	3.9	81.9
Vehicle Recovered - Trucks			3.9			1	3.9	3.9
Total	**102**	**276**		**1076.4**	**84**	**201**		**783.9**

Billing Category: Statutes & Acts Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
FAMILY LAW ACT - CUSTODY/ACCESS ORDER	1	1	3.5	3.5	1	2	3.5	7
Landlord / Tenant		1	3.5	3.5	3	5	3.5	17.5
Mental Health Act		4	3.5	14	5	7	3.5	24.5
Mental Health Act - Apprehension	1	2	3.5	7	1	1	3.5	3.5
Mental Health Act - Attempt Suicide	1	1	3.5	3.5			3.5	
Mental Health Act - No Contact with Police	1	1	3.5	3.5			3.5	
Mental Health Act - Threat of Suicide	1	7	3.5	24.5	4	8	3.5	28
Mental Health Act - Voluntary Transport		2	3.5	7			3.5	
Trespass To Property Act	1	4	3.5	14			3.5	
Youth Criminal Justice Act (YCJA)	1	1	3.5	3.5			3.5	
Total	**7**	**24**		**84.0**	**14**	**23**		**80.5**

	2026				2025			
Billing Category: Operational2 Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
911 call - Dropped Cell	2	5	1.7	8.5			1.7	
911 call / 911 hang up	5	10	1.7	17	2	5	1.7	8.5
False Alarm - Accidental Trip			1.7			1	1.7	1.7
False Alarm - Others	2	4	1.7	6.8	3	6	1.7	10.2
False Holdup Alarm - Accidental Trip		1	1.7	1.7	2	2	1.7	3.4
Keep the Peace	1	11	1.7	18.7	4	10	1.7	17
Total	**10**	**31**		**52.7**	**11**	**24**		**40.8**
Billing Category: Property Crime Violations Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
Arson - Building		1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Break & Enter	1	4	6.2	24.8		1	6.2	6.2
Fraud - False Pretence Over $5,000			6.2			1	6.2	6.2
Fraud - Forgery & Uttering			6.2			1	6.2	6.2
Fraud - Master Code		1	6.2	6.2	1	1	6.2	6.2
Fraud - Money/property/security Over $5,000	1	2	6.2	12.4		1	6.2	6.2
Fraud - Money/property/security Under $5,000		5	6.2	31			6.2	
Fraud - Other		1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Fraud - Steal/Forge/Poss./Use Credit Card			6.2		1	1	6.2	6.2
Fraud - Welfare benefits			6.2		1	1	6.2	6.2
Mischief	2	3	6.2	18.6		2	6.2	12.4
Mischief - Interfere with lawful use, enjoyment of property		1	6.2	6.2		1	6.2	6.2
Mischief Graffiti - Non-Gang Related			6.2			1	6.2	6.2
Personation with Intent (fraud)			6.2			1	6.2	6.2
Possession of Stolen Goods over $5,000			6.2			1	6.2	6.2
Property Damage	1	2	6.2	12.4	1	3	6.2	18.6
Theft FROM Motor Vehicles Under $5,000	1	1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Theft of - Trucks			6.2		1	1	6.2	6.2
Theft Over $5,000 - Other Theft		1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Theft Under $5,000 - Bicycles	1	3	6.2	18.6	2	2	6.2	12.4
Theft Under $5,000 - Boat Motor		1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Theft Under $5,000 - Gasoline Drive-off		1	6.2	6.2		2	6.2	12.4
Theft Under $5,000 - Master Code	1	2	6.2	12.4	2	3	6.2	18.6
Theft Under $5,000 - Other Theft		1	6.2	6.2		2	6.2	12.4
Theft Under $5,000 - Trailers		1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Theft Under $5,000 SHOPLIFTING		1	6.2	6.2			6.2	
Total	**8**	**32**		**198.4**	**9**	**26**		**161.2**
Billing Category: Traffic Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
MVC - FATAL (MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION)			3.8			1	3.8	3.8
MVC - PROP. DAM. NON REPORTABLE (MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION)	1	1	3.8	3.8	2	8	3.8	30.4
MVC - PROP. DAM. REPORTABLE (MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION)	4	9	3.8	34.2	3	6	3.8	22.8
MVC (MOTOR VEHICLE COLLISION) - Master Code			3.8		1	1	3.8	3.8
Total	**5**	**10**		**38.0**	**6**	**16**		**60.8**

	2026				2025			
Billing Category: Violent Criminal Code Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
Aggravated Assault - Level 3			14.8			1	14.8	14.8
Assault - Level 1	9	26	14.8	384.8	9	16	14.8	236.8
Assault With Weapon or Causing Bodily Harm - Level 2	4	10	14.8	148	1	3	14.8	44.4
Criminal Harassment	3	3	14.8	44.4	1	3	14.8	44.4
Forcible confinement			14.8			1	14.8	14.8
Indecent/Harassing Communications		2	14.8	29.6		3	14.8	44.4
Non-Consensual Distribution of Intimate Images			14.8			1	14.8	14.8
Robbery - Other			14.8			1	14.8	14.8
Sexual Assault	1	3	14.8	44.4		2	14.8	29.6
Sexual Interference		1	14.8	14.8		1	14.8	14.8
Utter Threats to Person	2	4	14.8	59.2	1	2	14.8	29.6
Utter Threats-Master code		1	14.8	14.8	1	1	14.8	14.8
Voyeurism	1	1	14.8	14.8			14.8	
Total	**20**	**51**		**754.8**	**13**	**35**		**518.0**
Billing Category: Other Criminal Code Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
Bail Violations - Fail To Comply	5	15	7.1	106.5	1	13	7.1	92.3
Breach of Probation	1	2	7.1	14.2	1	1	7.1	7.1
Breach of Probation - In relation to children			7.1			1	7.1	7.1
Child Pornography - Import child pornography		1	7.1	7.1			7.1	
Disobey court order/Misconduct executing process		1	7.1	7.1			7.1	
Disturb the Peace	2	3	7.1	21.3	1	3	7.1	21.3
Indecent acts - Master Code	1	1	7.1	7.1			7.1	
Indecent acts - Other	1	1	7.1	7.1			7.1	
Obstruct Public Peace Officer	2	2	7.1	14.2	1	2	7.1	14.2
Offensive Weapons - Possession of Weapons			7.1		1	1	7.1	7.1
Utter Threats to Property / Animals		1	7.1	7.1			7.1	
Uttering Counterfeit Money		1	7.1	7.1			7.1	
Total	**12**	**28**		**198.8**	**5**	**21**		**149.1**
Billing Category: Drug Possession Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
DRUG related occurrence		1	5.9	5.9	1	1	5.9	5.9
Possession - Cocaine		1	5.9	5.9			5.9	
Possession of illicit or over 30g dried cannabis (or equivalent) by adult			5.9			1	5.9	5.9
Total	**0**	**2**		**11.8**	**1**	**2**		**11.8**
Billing Category: Drugs Offence	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs	Jul to Sep	YTD Count	Time Std	Ytd W Hrs
Trafficking - Cocaine	1	2	88.1	176.2			88.1	
Total	**1**	**2**		**176.2**	**0**	**0**		**0.0**


Please Note: The following reports were removed due to lack of data

- Overdose Occurrences
- Youth Involved Occurrences

Outlook

To those who serve, and those preparing for what comes next

From Jeanine Lassaline-Berglund <communications@policegovernanceontario.ca>
Date Mon 11/2/2026 7:00 AM
To Roxanne Cox <deputyclerk@ignace.ca>



With municipal elections behind us, police boards across Ontario are preparing for change. Some members will continue their service. Others will conclude their terms over the coming weeks.

To everyone whose time around the board table is coming to a close, thank you.

Thank you for the hours spent preparing, the questions you asked and the judgment you brought to difficult conversations. Thank you for making room for this responsibility alongside work, family and other commitments.

Many of you have also helped your boards navigate significant change under the Community Safety and Policing Act. You have worked through new responsibilities, new structures and questions that did not always come with easy answers. That contribution deserves recognition.

Our thanks also extend to the administrators and municipal staff who support this work, often quietly keeping things moving while appointments, priorities and people change.

For those continuing, the work carries on. You bring knowledge of the decisions already made, the commitments still outstanding and the relationships your board has built. Taking time to share that knowledge can make a real difference to incoming colleagues.

Over the coming weeks, PGO will highlight resources to help new members find their footing and support continuing members and administrators through the transition. We will also make room in our discussion groups for questions about the role and the work ahead.

For now, we encourage boards to take a moment to thank departing colleagues and consider what would be useful to pass along before they leave.

Whether you are concluding your service, continuing at the table or preparing to join it, thank you for contributing to police governance in your community.

With Gratitude,

Police Governance Ontario

Leading Excellence in Police Governance

This email was sent on behalf of Police Governance Ontario located at PO Box 43058, London RPO Highland, ON N6J 0A7. To unsubscribe click here. If you have questions or comments concerning this email contact Police Governance Ontario at holly@policegovernanceontario.ca.